## Cotton, Douglas E

From:	Pell, Jerry [Jerry.Pell@hq.doe.gov]
Sent:	Monday, June 21, 2010 10:51 AM
То:	Hoover, Mike; Cotton, Douglas E
Subject:	FW: PUBLIC comment ON FEDERAL REGISTER

The below should be treated and recorded as a scoping comment. Also gets posted on our EIS Web site.

From: jean public[SMTP:USACITIZEN1@LIVE.COM] Sent: Saturday, June 19, 2010 1:50:47 PM To: askNEPA; carol.bergstrom@hq.doe.gov; americanvoices@mail.house.gov; comments@whitehouse.gov; sf.nancy@mail.house.gov; information@sierraclub.org Cc: info@earthjustice.org; center@biologicaldiversity.org; today@nbc.com Subject: PUBLIC comment ON FEDERAL REGISTER Auto forwarded by a Rule

THERE IS NOT SUFFICIENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDIES DONE TO LET THIS PROJECT GO FORWARD. IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT THAT THE BUSH CHENEY SCUM CROWD HAD ALOT OF SECRET MEETINGS ON HOW THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE A KILLING WHILE OUR COUNTRY IS CUT UP FOR THE BIG TIME, RICH ENERGY CROWD. OUR ENERGY COSTS HAVE SKYROCKETED WITHOUT SOUND PLANNING AND THE BUSH CHENEY SCUM WERE ALL IN IT FOR THEMSELVES.

I DO NOT FAVOR LETTING RICH PROFITEERS BUILD THIS PROJECT. BURNING COAL FOR POWER SO RICH PROFITEERS CAN SELL IT TO CANADA DOES NOT HELP AMERICA IN THE LONG RUN. WE ALL DIE FROM AIR POLLUTION.

THE AREA CONSIDERED HERE IS ALREADY FILLED WITH HORRIBLE AMOUNTS OF PCBS FROM GENERAL ELECTIC CAUSING CANCER TO BE RAMPANT IN THIS AREA. I SEE NO REASON PRECAUTIONS TO CLEAN UP THE AREA FROM THE LAST SPILL. THIS AREA IS ECONOMICALLY DEPRESSED AND NEEDS HELP, NOT MORE POLLUTION. DOE DID NOTHING TO PREVENT GENERAL ELECTRIC FROM POLLUTING THIS ENTIRE AREA WITH PCBS, WHICH REMAIN THERE CAUSING CANCER TO THIS DAY BECAUSE DOE HAS DONE NOTHING EXCEPT LET RICH POLLUTERS RUN WILD. THE RELATIONSHIP OF RICH POLLUTER WITH DOE IS LIKE MMS WITH BP-SAME DAMN THING

THIS PROJECT SHOULD BE SHUT DOWN NOW. LET THE RICH POLLUTERS GO BROKE.WE ARE SICK OF GETTING CANCER WHILE THEY GET RICH JEAN PUBLIC 8 WINTERBERRY COURT WHITEHOUSE STATION NJ 08889

[Federal Register: June 18, 2010 (Volume 75, Number 117)]

[Notices]

[Page 34720-34724]

From the Federal Register Online via GPO Access [wais.access.gpo.gov]

[DOCID:fr18jn10-56]

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

[OE Docket No. PP-362]

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and

To Conduct Public Scoping Meetings, and Notice of Floodplains and

Wetlands Involvement; Champlain Hudson Power Express, Inc.

AGENCY: Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

(EIS) and to conduct Public Scoping Meetings; Notice of Floodplains and

Wetlands Involvement.

\_\_\_\_\_

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) announces its intention to

```
prepare an EIS pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
```

of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Council on

Environmental Quality (CEQ) NEPA regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508),

and the DOE NEPA implementing procedures (10 CFR part 1021) to assess

the potential environmental impacts from its proposed Federal action of

granting a Presidential permit to Champlain Hudson Power Express, Inc.

(Champlain Hudson) to construct, operate, maintain, and connect a new

electric transmission line across the U.S.-Canada border in

northeastern New York State. The EIS, Champlain Hudson Power Express

Transmission Line Project Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-

0447), will address potential environmental impacts from the proposed

action and the range of reasonable alternatives.

The purpose of this Notice of Intent (NOI) is to inform the public

about the proposed action, announce plans to conduct seven public

scoping meetings in the vicinity of the proposed transmission line,

invite public participation in the scoping process, and solicit public

comments for consideration in establishing the scope of the EIS.

Because the proposed project may involve actions in floodplains and

wetlands, in accordance with 10 CFR part 1022, Compliance with

Floodplain and Wetland Environmental Review Requirements, the draft EIS

will include a floodplain and wetland assessment as appropriate, and

the final EIS or record of decision will include a floodplain statement

of findings.

[[Page 34721]]

DATES: DOE invites interested agencies, organizations, Native American

tribes, and members of the public to submit comments to assist in

identifying significant environmental issues and in determining the

appropriate scope of the EIS. The public scoping period starts with the

publication of this Notice in the Federal Register and will continue

until August 2, 2010. Written and oral comments will be given equal

weight, and DOE will consider all comments received or postmarked by

August 2, 2010 in defining the scope of this EIS. Comments received or

postmarked after that date will be considered to the extent

9

practicable.

Locations, dates, and start and end times for the public scoping

meetings are listed in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this

NOI.

Requests to speak at any one or more public scoping meeting(s)

should be received by Dr. Jerry Pell at the address indicated below on

or before July 6, 2010; requests received by that date will be given

priority in the speaking order. However, requests to speak also may be

made at the scoping meetings.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the scope of the EIS and requests to be added to

the document mailing list should be addressed to: Dr. Jerry Pell,

Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE-20), U.S.

Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC

20585; by electronic mail to Jerry.Pell@hq.doe.gov; or by facsimile to

202-318-7761. For general information on the DOE NEPA process contact:

Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance

(GC-54), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW.,

Washington, DC 20585; by electronic mail at <a href="mailto:askNEPA@hq.doe.gov">askNEPA@hq.doe.gov</a>; or by

facsimile at 202-586-7031.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Jerry Pell at the addresses above,

or at 202-586-3362. For general information on the DOE NEPA process,

contact Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom at 202-586-4600, leave a message at 800-

472-2756, or at the addresses above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Executive Order (E.O.) 10485, as amended by

E.O. 12038, requires that a Presidential permit be issued by DOE before

electric transmission facilities may be constructed, operated,

maintained, or connected at the U.S. international border. The E.O.

provides that a Presidential permit may be issued after a finding that

the proposed project is consistent with the public interest and after

favorable recommendations from the U.S. Departments of State and

Defense. In determining consistency with the public interest, DOE

considers the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project

under NEPA, determines the project's impact on electric reliability

(including whether the proposed project would adversely affect the

operation of the U.S. electric power supply system under normal and

contingency conditions), and considers any other factors that DOE may

find relevant to the public interest. The regulations implementing the

E.O. have been codified at 10 CFR parts 205.320-205.329. DOE's issuance

of a Presidential permit indicates that there is no Federal objection

to the project, but does not mandate that the project be undertaken.

Champlain Hudson applied on January 27, 2010, to DOE's Office of

Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE) for a Presidential

permit to construct, operate, maintain, and connect a 2,000-megawatt

(MW) high-voltage direct current (HVDC) Voltage Source Converter (VSC)

controllable transmission system from the Canadian Province of Quebec

to the New York City and Southwestern Connecticut regions. After due

consideration of the nature and extent of the proposed project,

including evaluation of the ``Information Regarding Potential

Environmental Impacts'' section of the Presidential permit application,

DOE has determined that the appropriate level of NEPA review for this

project is an EIS.

The proposed Federal action is the granting of the Presidential

permit and it is anticipated that the project could significantly

affect the quality of the human environment. Because the proposed

project may involve actions in floodplains and wetlands, in accordance

with 10 CFR part 1022, Compliance with Floodplain and Wetland

Environmental Review Requirements, the draft EIS will include a

floodplain and wetland assessment as appropriate, and the final EIS or

record of decision will include a floodplain statement of findings.

DOE invites Tribal governments and Federal, state, and local

agencies with jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to

environmental issues to be cooperating agencies with respect to the

EIS, as defined at 40 CFR 1501.6. Cooperating agencies have certain

responsibilities to support the NEPA process, as specified at 40 CFR

1501.6(b). The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (anticipated), the U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency Region 2, and the New York State

Departments of Environmental Conservation and Public Service are

cooperating agencies with respect to this EIS.

In addition, Champlain Hudson applied to DOE on September 12, 2009,

for a Federal loan guarantee for the proposed project in response to a

DOE competitive solicitation, ``Federal Loan Guarantees for Electric

Power Transmission Infrastructure Investment Projects, '' issued under

section 1705, Title XVII, of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct).

Section 406 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the

``Recovery Act'') amended EPAct by adding section 1705. This section is

designed to address the current economic conditions of the Nation, in

part by facilitating the development of eligible renewable and

transmission projects that commence construction no later than

September 30, 2011. DOE is carrying out an evaluation of the

application submitted by Champlain Hudson. Should DOE decide to enter

into the negotiation of a possible loan guarantee with Champlain

Hudson, DOE would use this EIS to meet its NEPA requirements in making

a determination of funding.

Applicant's Proposal

The applicant's proposed VSC controllable transmission system

consists of two 1,000-MW HVDC bipoles. A bipole consists of two

connected submarine or underground cables, one of which is positively

charged, and the other negatively charged. In total, four cables would

be laid between Quebec, Canada, and a proposed converter station in

Yonkers, NY, where one bipole (two cables) would be terminated. The

converter station would change the electrical power from direct current

to alternating current. The remaining bipole (two cables) would

continue to a proposed converter station in Bridgeport, CT. Champlain

Hudson's proposed transmission line would connect renewable sources of

power generation in Canada with load centers in and around the New York

City and southwestern Connecticut regions.

The project would originate at an HVDC converter station near

Hydro-Qu[eacute]bec Trans[Eacute]nergie's 765/315-kilovolt (kV) Hertel

substation, located southeast of Montreal, and extend approximately 35

miles to the international border between the United States and Canada,

crossing in Lake Champlain to the east of the Town of Champlain, NY.

Four cables (two bipoles) would extend south under Lake Champlain for

approximately 111 miles entirely within the jurisdictional waters of

New York State. At the southern end of Lake Champlain, the cables would

exit the

[[Page 34722]]

of Whitehall, NY, and would be buried within an existing railroad

right-of-way owned by Canadian Pacific Railway (CP) for 1.7 miles. The

cables would enter the Canal just south of Lock C12 and continue under

the Canal for 5.6 miles to Comstock, NY, and then utilize another CP

railroad right-of-way for 0.4 miles to circumvent Lock C11. The cables

would re-enter the canal just south of Lock C11 and continue under the

Canal for 8.9 miles toward Lock C9 in Kingsbury, NY (there is no Lock

C10). North of Lock C9, the cables would exit the Canal and would be

buried for 0.5 miles within land owned by the New York State Canal

Corporation on the eastern shore of Lock C9. The HVDC cables would re-

enter the Canal just south of Lock C9 and continue under the Canal for

2.7 miles toward Lock C8 in Fort Edward, NY.

The Upper Hudson River portion of the Hudson River polychlorinated

biphenyl (PCB) site (USEPA Identification Number NYD980763841)

stretches from Hudson Falls, NY, to the Federal Dam at Troy, NY. To

avoid installing and burying HVDC cables within this area, the proposed

Project route would exit the Canal north of Lock C8 near Durham Basin,

where an existing CP railroad right-of-way is located immediately

adjacent to the west of the Canal. Upon exiting the canal, the four

cables would be buried for approximately 46.1 miles within the CP

railroad bypass route to the west of the Hudson River, traversing the

municipalities of Moreau, Northumberland, Wilton, Greenfield, Saratoga

Springs, Milton, Ballston, Clifton Park, Glenville, and Schenectady,

NY. In the town of Rotterdam, NY, the buried route would transfer to

the CSX Railroad (CSX) right-of-way and proceed south for approximately

23.7 miles through the municipalities of Guilderland, New Scotland,

Voorheesville, and Bethlehem. The proposed Project route would then

exit the railroad right-of-way and enter the Hudson River at the town

of Coeymans, NY (about 14 miles south of Albany). In general, when a

railroad right-of-way intersects with a waterway, the applicant's

preference would be to attach the cables to the bridge structure,

particularly for longer crossings such as the bridge over the Mohawk

River in Schenectady, NY. If the cables could not be attached to the

bridge due to engineering concerns or owner preference, an option would

be for the applicant to employ horizontal directional drilling to

install high-density polyethylene (HDPE) casings for the cables to use

under the waterway.

118 miles until they reach the City of Yonkers, NY. Two of the four

HVDC cables (one bipole) would terminate at the proposed converter

station located in Yonkers for a total length of approximately 319

miles from the U.S. border with Canada to Yonkers, NY. The remaining

two cables would continue for approximately 66 miles under the Hudson

River, Spuyten Duyvil Creek, the Harlem River, and the East River into

Long Island Sound before terminating at a converter station near 1  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{W}}$ 

Avenue in Bridgeport, CT, for at total length of approximately 384.4

miles from the U.S. border with Canada to Bridgeport. This route is

discussed below as being Route A, the applicant's preferred

alternative.

The Champlain Hudson Presidential permit application, including

associated maps and drawings, can be viewed or downloaded in its

entirety from the DOE program Web site at <a href="http://www.oe.energy.gov/">http://www.oe.energy.gov/</a>

permits\_pending.htm (see PP-362), or on the project EIS Web site at

http://CHPExpressEIS.org. Also available at these same locations is the

March 5, 2010, Federal Register Notice of Receipt of Application (75 FR

10229).

Agency Purpose and Need, Proposed Action, and Alternatives

The DOE proposed Federal action is the granting of a Presidential

permit to Champlain Hudson to construct, operate, maintain, and connect

a new electric transmission line across the U.S.-Canada border in

northeastern New York State. The EIS, Champlain Hudson Power Express

Transmission Line Project Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-

0447), will address potential environmental impacts from the proposed

action and the range of reasonable alternatives. The purpose and need

for DOE's action is to decide whether to grant Champlain Hudson said

Presidential permit. It should be noted, however, that although the

potential environmental impacts are important, they are not the only

criteria that form the basis for the final permitting decision. If

granted, the Presidential permit would authorize only that portion of

the line that would be constructed, operated, and maintained wholly

within the United States.

Three action alternatives (routes) for constructing the proposed

transmission line inside the United States have been identified by the

applicant, and they differ little in total length: 384.5 miles for

Route A, 384.2 miles for Route B, and 385.7 miles for Route C. The

lines differ, however, in the amount of the line that is submerged or

buried underground. Route A, the Champlain Hudson preferred

alternative, has approximately 72.4 miles buried underground. Route B

has approximately 89.4 miles buried underground, and Route C has about

68.0 miles buried underground. The remaining distances of all routes

are submerged. Maps showing all three alternative routes may be found

All three routes cross the U.S.-Canada border in Lake Champlain at

Rouses Point, NY (which is about five miles east of the Town of

Champlain, NY), 35 miles from where they would begin southeast of

Montreal, Canada. Route A, the applicant's preferred alternative, is

described in detail above.

The Route B alternative is the same as Route A, except that after

exiting the water just north of Lock C12 of the Champlain Canal (Canal)

in the town of Whitehall, NY, Route B would continue within an existing

railroad right-of-way owned by Canadian Pacific Railway (CP) for 19.5

miles through the municipalities of Comstock, Fort Ann, and Kingsbury.

Route B would overlap with Route A where Route A exits the Champlain

Canal north of Lock C8 near Durham Basin.

Route C is the same as Route A except for a 6.3 mile segment from

north of Lock C8 near Durham Basin, where Route A exits the Champlain

Canal (Canal) to travel south about 4.8 miles within the CP railroad

right-of-way. At the point where Route A would exit the canal, Route C

instead would continue under the Canal for 2.9 miles toward Lock C8 in

Fort Edward, NY. North of Lock C8, the cables would exit the Canal and

would be buried for 0.4 miles within land owned by the New York State

Canal Corporation on the eastern shore of Lock C8. The HVDC cables

would re-enter the Canal just south of Lock C8 and continue under the

Canal for 2.1 miles towards Lock C7, also located in Fort Edward, NY.

North of Lock C7, the cables would exit the eastern side of the canal

and be buried for 0.2 miles within land owned by the New York State

Canal Corporation before entering the Hudson River to the south of

Rogers Island, where the Hudson River flows parallel to the Champlain

Canal. The four cables would be buried under the Hudson River, and

Route C would travel in a northern direction under the river to the

west of Rogers Island for 0.7 miles before reaching the CP railroad

[[Page 34723]]

that extends roughly southwest over the Hudson River from Fort Edward,

 $\operatorname{NY}$  toward Moreau,  $\operatorname{NY}.$  The cables would exit the water on the west side

of the Hudson River and Route C would overlap with Route A at the same

point where Route A would transition from being attached to the bridge

structure to being buried within the railroad right-of-way in the town

of Moreau. This alternative assumes that PCB dredging activities

associated with the Hudson River Dredging Project planned for the area

around Rogers Island are completed by 2013. (The northern tip of Rogers

Island is about one-quarter of a mile west of Fort Edward. Overall, the

Island is just less than one mile in length.)

Champlain Hudson is also considering two alternative substations

identified as feasible points of interconnection in New York,

regardless of the alternative route: The Gowanus 345-kV substation,

located in New York County, and the Astoria (Polleti) 345-kV

substation, located in Queens County. An alternative site under

consideration for the DC-AC converter station in Queens County is land

adjacent to the Astoria substation. In Connecticut, 60 Main Street in

Bridgeport has been identified as a possible alternative site for the

converter station.

Under the No Action alternative, DOE would deny Champlain Hudson's

application for a Presidential permit for the proposed international

electric transmission line.

Identification of Environmental Issues

The EIS will examine public health and safety effects and

environmental impacts in the U.S. from the proposed HVDC transmission

facilities. This notice is intended to inform agencies and the public

of the proposed project, and to solicit comments and suggestions for

consideration in the preparation of the EIS. To help the public frame

its comments, the following is a preliminary list of several potential

environmental issues in the U.S. that DOE and Champlain Hudson have

tentatively identified for analysis, including:

1. Impacts on protected, threatened, endangered, or sensitive

species of animals or plants, or their critical habitats: The EIS will

consider the effects of the construction and operation of the project

on essential fish habitats and species, including the shortnose

sturgeon (Federally listed endangered species), leatherback sea turtle

(Federally listed endangered species), loggerhead sea turtle (Federal

listed threatened species), green sea turtle (Federal listed threatened

species), and Atlantic sturgeon (Federally listed candidate species as

of October 17, 2006).

2. Impacts on aquatic biological resources: The EIS will consider

the effects of the construction and operation of the project on

shellfish, benthic communities, finfish, and commercial and

recreational fisheries, and the potential for introduction of invasive

species.

3. Impacts on floodplains and wetlands: The EIS will consider the

effects of the construction and operation of the project on wetlands

and on freshwater, tidal, and estuarine floodplains. The portions of

all three alternative routes that utilize the CP railroad right-of-way

would cross Federal Emergency Management Agency-mapped floodplains

associated with the Champlain Canal and the Hudson River. The routes

cross the Mohawk River within the City of Schenectady, but an option

under consideration is the possible suspension of the cables from the

railroad bridge, such that they would not be buried within the

floodplain. The underground connection to the Yonkers and Bridgeport

converter stations utilized by all three route alternatives would cross

bordering floodplain at the landfall locations. Portions of the Sherman

Creek East substation site and the underground connection to the

substation are located in floodplain associated with the Harlem River

in New York City. Limited wetland delineations and available New York

State mapping resources indicate that less than 15 acres of wetlands

would be temporarily impacted within the construction corridor along

the underground portions of Routes A, B, and C.

4. Impacts on cultural or historic resources: The EIS will consider

the effects of the construction and operation of the project on

shipwrecks and National Historic Landmarks; e.g., the proposed

transmission cable route travels through the boundary of the Crown

Point and Fort Ticonderoga National Historic Landmarks. The project

facilities would also be located within National Heritage Areas and New

York State Heritage Areas, including the Mohawk Valley Heritage

Corridor and the RiverSpark (Hudson-Mohawk) Heritage Area.

5. Impacts on human health and safety: The EIS will consider the

nature and effects of electric and magnetic fields that may be

generated by the construction and operation of the project.

6. Impacts on air quality: The EIS will consider the effects of the

construction and operation of the project on air quality, including the

emission and effects of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide.

7. Impacts on soil: The EIS will consider the effects of the

construction and operation of the project on the loss or disturbance of

soils.

8. Impacts on water quality: The EIS will consider the effects of

the installation and operation of the transmission cables on water

quality due to potential re-suspension of sediments and contaminants,

including PCBs in the Hudson River.

9. Impacts to land use: The EIS will consider the effects of the

installation and operation of the project on land uses, including

agricultural lands, parks, and public lands.

10. Visual impacts: The EIS will consider the effects of the

installation and operation of the project on visual resources of any

above-ground components of the project, including near the locations of

the two converter stations.

11. Noise impacts: The EIS will consider the effects of the

installation and operation of the project on noise levels near the

locations of the two DC-to-AC converter stations.

12. Socioeconomic impacts: This EIS will consider impacts on

community services.

13. Environmental justice: The EIS will include consideration of

any disproportionately high and adverse impacts on minority and low-

income populations.

This list is not intended to be all inclusive or to imply any

predetermination of impacts. DOE invites interested parties to suggest

specific issues within these general categories, or other issues not

included above, to be considered in the EIS.

Scoping Process

Interested parties are invited to participate in the scoping

process, both to help define the environmental issues to be analyzed

and to identify the range of reasonable alternatives. Both oral and

written comments will be considered and given equal weight by DOE,

regardless of how submitted. Public scoping meetings will be held at

the locations, dates, and times as indicated below:

1. Bridgeport, CT: Bridgeport City Hall, 45 Lyon Terrace,

Bridgeport, CT 06604; 7-9 p.m., Thursday, July 8, 2010.

2. New York City, NY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 290

Broadway, Room 27A (27th floor, conference room A), New York, NY 10007;

2-4 p.m., Friday, July 9, 2010. It is important to note that this is a

```
secure building: all carried items, e.g.,
```

[[Page 34724]]

handbags and backpacks, will be X-rayed and visitors will pass through

a metal detector.

3. Yonkers, NY: Royal Regency Hotel, 165 Tuckahoe Road, Yonkers, NY

10710; 7-9 p.m., Monday, July 12, 2010.

4. Kingston, NY: Holiday Inn Kingston NY, 503 Washington Avenue,

Kingston, NY 12401; 7-9 p.m., Tuesday, July 13, 2010.

5. Albany, NY: The Holiday Inn Albany at Wolf Road, 205 Wolf Road,

Albany, NY 12205; 7-9 p.m., Wednesday, July 14, 2010.

6. Glens Falls, NY: Ramada Glens Falls/Lake George Area, 1 Abby

Lane (exit 19 off I-87), Queensbury, NY 12804; 7-9 p.m., Thursday, July

7. Plattsburgh, NY: Plattsburgh North Country Chamber of Commerce,

7061 State Route 9, Plattsburgh, NY 12901; 7-9 p.m., Friday, July 16,

2010.

The scoping meetings will be structured in two parts: First, an

informal discussion ``workshop'' period that will not be recorded; and,

second, the formal taking of comments with transcription by a court

stenographer. The meetings will provide interested parties the

opportunity to view proposed project exhibits, ask questions, and make

comments. Applicant, DOE, and any cooperating agency representatives

will be available to answer questions and provide additional

information to attendees to the extent that additional information is

available at this early stage of the proceedings.

Persons submitting comments during the scoping process, whether

orally or in writing, will receive either paper or electronic copies of

the Draft EIS, according to their preference. Persons who do not wish

to submit comments or suggestions at this time but who would like to

receive a copy of the document for review and comment when it is issued

should notify Dr. Jerry Pell as provided above, with their paper-or-

electronic preference.

EIS Preparation and Schedule

In preparing the Draft EIS, DOE will consider comments received

during the scoping period. As noted above, comments can be submitted by

various means, and will be given the same consideration. They can be

submitted to Dr. Jerry Pell either electronically or by paper copy; if

the latter, consider using a delivery service because materials

submitted by regular mail are subject to security screening, which both

causes extended delay and potential damage to the contents. (Warped and

unusable CD or DVD discs are common.) Additionally, comments can be

submitted through the project Web site established for preparation of

the EIS, at <a href="http://CHPExpressEIS.org">http://CHPExpressEIS.org</a>. This site will also serve as a

repository for all public documents and the central location for

announcements. Individuals may subscribe to the ``mail list'' feature

on the project Web site in order to receive future announcements and

news releases.

DOE will summarize all comments received in a ``Scoping Report''

62

that will be available on the project Web site and distributed either

electronically to all parties of record for whom we have an e-mail

address, or by mailing paper copies upon request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 14, 2010.

Patricia A. Hoffman,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Electricity Delivery

and Energy Reliability.

[FR Doc. 2010-14760 Filed 6-17-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. Get busy.